

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,278	09/942,278 08/27/2001		Michelle Carey	0359.1-1-1CON	8119	
25207	7590	11/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
POWELL (GOLDST	EIN LLP	PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE			
	ONE ATLANTIC CENTER FOURTEENTH FLOOR 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET NW				PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3488				1713	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/942,278	CAREY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Helen L. Pezzuto	1713	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR he same day as filing a Notice of		ress
	he same day as filing a Notice of	R ALLOWANCE.	
4 N = 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 5.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complice filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 	112 1st, and obviousness-type do	uble patenting rejection	ons.
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Helen L. Pezzuto Primary Examiner/ Art Unit: 1713	H

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 34 remians rejected over prior art of record, for the reasons set forth in previous office action. All applied references teach the instant anionically stabilized addition polymerized polymeric aqueous dispersion, polymerized from the recited monomer species, in conjunction with Tg of the resultant polymer dispersion within the same utility. Accordingly, the examiner remains of the position that equation (I) is either inherent in prior art embodiments or it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine the optimum porportions or workable ranges of the individual monomer species within the context of the same utility/applications (i.e. paint, coatings, etc.) Thus, the examiner's position is maintained.